## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

In re: PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE	
LITIGATION )	MDL No. 1456
	Civil Action No. 01-12257-PBS
	Judge Patti B. Saris
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO	C
State of Nevada v. Abbott Laboratories, et al.,	
Case No. CV02-00260 (Nevada I),	Chief Magistrate Judge Marianne B.
	Bowler
State of Nevada v. American Home Products, et al., )	
CA No. 02-CV-12086-PBS (Nevada II), and)	
State of Montana v. Abbott Labs., Inc., et al.	
CA No. 02-CV-12084-PBS )	

## DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF THEIR EMERGENCY MOTION FOR AN ORDER HOLDING PLAINTIFFS IN CONTEMPT, FOR PRESERVATION OF POTENTIALLY RELEVANT DOCUMENTS, AND FOR AN ACCOUNTING OF SPOLIATED DOCUMENTS

Defendants respectfully request leave to file a short, 5-page Reply Brief in Support of their Emergency Motion for an Order Holding Plaintiffs in Contempt, for Preservation of Potentially Relevant Documents, and for an Accounting of Spoliated Documents. A Reply Brief will assist the Court as it considers Defendants' Motion and Plaintiffs' Opposition. The Reply Brief presents additional facts ascertained at several depositions that were conducted after Defendants' Motion was filed. These facts specifically address the extent to which Plaintiffs have complied with their preservation obligations and rebut or provide additional context to certain factual assertions made for the first time in Plaintiffs' Opposition. The Reply Brief also corrects certain mischaracterizations made by Plaintiffs regarding the nature and scope of Defendants' Motion.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, Defendants respectfully request that the Court grant this Motion and permit its Reply Brief.

## **Certification Pursuant to Local Rules 7.1 and 37.1**

Pursuant to Local Rules 7.1(a)(2) and 37.1 of this Court, the undersigned counsel certifies that counsel for Defendants conferred unsuccessfully with Plaintiffs' counsel in an effort to obtain Plaintiffs' consent to the filing of a Reply Brief.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of all Defendants in the Nevada and Montana actions,

/s/ Geoffrey E. Hobart

Geoffrey E. Hobart (BBO # 547499) Mark H. Lynch Ronald G. Dove, Jr. COVINGTON & BURLING 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 Telephone: (202) 662-6000

Telephone: (202) 662-6000 Facsimile: (202) 662-6291

Mark D. Seltzer (BBO #556341) HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP 10 St. James Avenue Boston, MA 02116 Telephone: (617) 523-2700

Facsimile: (617) 523-2700

Frederick G. Herold DECHERT LLP 1117 California Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94304 Telephone: (650) 813-4930 Facsimile: (650) 813-4848

Thomas H. Lee II DECHERT LLP 4000 Bell Atlantic Tower 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2793 Telephone: (215) 994-2994 Facsimile: (215) 994-2222

Attorneys for Defendant SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline

January 6, 2006

## **Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that on January 6, 2006, I caused a true and correct copy of Defendants' Motion for Leave to File a Reply Brief in Support of Their Emergency Motion For An Order Holding Plaintiffs In Contempt, For Preservation Of Potentially Relevant Documents, And For An Accounting Of Spoliated Documents to be served on all counsel of record by electronic service pursuant to Case Management Order No. 2 in MDL No. 1456.